

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/324,601	06/02/1999	STEVEN C. ROBERTSON	1766	
75	90 03/02/2005		EXAMINER	
PATRICK M. DWYER PC.			FADOK, MARK A	
1818 WESTLAKE AVENUE N. SUITE 114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98109			3625	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/03/2000	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	5				
Advisory Action	09/324,601	ROBERTSON, STEVEN C.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Mark Fadok	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	_				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant							
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e e reply must be filed within one of t	41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued	ļ				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	* *	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	s				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		annual hate The N. C. C.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate with 37 CF Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)).</li> </ol>	R 41.37 must be filed within two mo CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	onths of the date of filing the Notice of	f				
has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	100 set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and	ĺ				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fails to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	_	<u>.</u>					
	The state of the s	and					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)